Filed: 01/04/2024

ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED

No. 23-1183 (and consolidated cases)

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

State of Ohio, et al., *Petitioners*,

v.

Environmental Protection Agency and Michael S. Regan, in his official capacity, as Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency *Respondents*.

On Petition for Review of Action by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

EMERGENCY JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE

DAVE YOST Attorney General of Ohio

T. ELLIOT GAISER
Ohio Solicitor General
MATHURA J. SRIDHARAN*
Deputy Solicitor General
*Counsel of record
GREGG BACHMANN
Section Counsel – Environmental
30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-466-8980
614-466-5087 fax
mathura.sridharan@ohioago.gov

Counsel for State of Ohio

(Additional counsel listed after signature block)

PETITIONERS' EMERGENCY JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b), the Petitioners respectfully move the Court to adopt a modified schedule for briefing and argument in this and consolidated cases. Petitioners request that opening briefs be due thirty (30) days following any order of the Supreme Court of the United States disposing of several petitioners' requests for a stay pending review. All other deadlines would be correspondingly extended.

The consolidated petitions for review all challenge the final rule of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") purporting to implement the requirements of 42 U.S.C. §7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2015 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards, see Federal "Good Neighbor Plan" for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards, 88 Fed. Reg. 36,654 (June 5, 2023) ("Final Rule"). The parties to this motion, their respective alignments, and the procedural background, are detailed at length in their joint proposed briefing schedule. Joint Response, Utah v. EPA, No. 23-1157 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 13, 2023), Doc. No. 2026766. Four petitioners jointly filed their proposed scheduling request. See Order, Utah v. EPA, No. 23-1157 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 15, 2023), Doc. No. 2027156. This Court thereafter issued a scheduling order setting petitioners' opening briefs to be due on January 26,

Filed: 01/04/2024

2024. Order, Ohio v. EPA, No. 23-1183 (D.C. Cir. Dec. 4, 2023), Doc. No. 2029865. The EPA respondents' brief is currently due in April 2024.

On September 25, 2023, this Court denied a stay pending review sought by several petitioners in the consolidated cases 23-1181, 23-1183, 23-1190, 23-1191, 23-1193, 23-1195, 23-1199, 23-1200, 23-1201, 23-1202, 23-1203, 23-1205, 23-1206, 23-1207, 23-1208, 23-1209, 23-1211. Order, Ohio v. EPA, No. 23-1183, (D.C. Cir.), Doc. No. 2018645. Several industry and state petitioners subsequently sought stays pending review at the Supreme Court of the United States pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23. See Ohio, et al. v. EPA, et al. (U.S. No. 23A349); Kinder Morgan, Inc., et al. v. EPA, et al. (U.S. No. 23A350); Am. Forest & Paper Assn., et al. v. EPA, et al. (U.S. No. 23A351); U.S. Steel Corp., v. EPA, et al. (U.S. No. 23A384). On December 20, 2023—about two weeks after this Court issued its scheduling order—the Supreme Court ordered that argument be held on the requests for a stay pending review in the February 2024 argument session. Order in Pending Cases, 601 U.S. (December 20, 2023). The exact date in February has not yet been set.

Pursuant to Rule 26(b), there is thus good cause to push merits briefing in this case until after the Supreme Court process for three primary reasons.

1. Under the current schedule, Petitioners' opening briefs are currently due before the argument on the Supreme Court stay request, while Respondents' and

Intervenors' responsive briefing is due after that argument. A modified briefing schedule would serve the interests of fairness and justice by avoiding that timing disparity.

- 2. As a matter of judicial economy, the stay-application process should also be completed prior to this Court moving on to the merits. The Supreme Court's disposition of the stay request may (and likely will) address some or all the issues presented in this case; conversely, not extending the briefing schedule would likely result in avoidable inefficiencies in the judicial process, which could further extend and exacerbate the harm experienced by Movants. A reasonably modified briefing schedule would serve the interests of judicial economy by obviating any need for motions for supplemental briefing in response to any action by the Supreme Court on the requests for a stay, and it would ensure all parties can fully and appropriately brief and address any disposition or opinions from the Supreme Court in their merits briefing before this Court.
- 3. Finally, preparing for oral argument while both drafting and finalizing merits briefs would force several petitioners to devote limited time and resources to both immense tasks at the same time. A reasonably modified briefing schedule with opening briefs due thirty (30) days after the Supreme Court's disposition of the stay

applications would permit the stay applicants to focus on oral argument preparation and then on merits briefing in turn.

Petitioners respectfully request expedited consideration of this motion by January 8, 2024, under Circuit Rule 27(f), given that Petitioners' respective merits briefs currently are due January 26, 2024.

The respondents oppose this motion. The EPA respondents oppose the delay of merits briefing on the basis of the Supreme Court's consideration of interim relief and reserves its right to file a response. But they indicated that, as a matter of professional courtesy, they would not oppose extending the deadline for Petitioners' opening briefs until April 1, 2024 with the remaining deadlines reset accordingly. The Intervenor-Respondents States of New York, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, and Wisconsin, the Commonwealths of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania, the District of Columbia, the City of New York, and Harris County, Texas concur in the position of the EPA and reserve their right to file a response. The public-interest respondents oppose this motion in full. The State of Wisconsin, a petitioner aligned with the respondents, takes no position on the requested modification of the schedule, and does not oppose an extension of the schedule to a date certain, such as that proposed by the United States.

Filed: 01/04/2024

While EPA's proposal of an extension to April 1 is preferable to the current briefing schedule, there is no guarantee that the Supreme Court will have decided the pending stay applications by that date. Accordingly, to ensure that petitioners (and not just respondents and their intervenors) will be able to prepare their opening briefs with the benefit of the Supreme Court's decision, the Court should extend the deadline for petitioners' opening briefs until 30 days after the Supreme Court resolves the pending stay motions.

CONCLUSION

Movants respectfully request that this Court modify its briefing schedule in these consolidated petitions so that Petitioners' opening briefs would be due thirty (30) days after any order or disposition of the pending requests for a stay by the Supreme Court of the United States. All other deadlines should be correspondingly extended.

DAVE YOST Attorney General of Ohio

/s/ Mathura J. Sridharan
T. ELLIOT GAISER
Solicitor General
MATHURA J. SRIDHARAN
Deputy Solicitor General
GREGG BACHMANN
Section Counsel – Environmental
30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor
Phone: 614-466-8980

Fax: 614-466-5087 mathura.sridharan@ohioago.gov

Counsel for State of Ohio

/s/ Aaron M. Streett
Aaron M. Streett
Matthew L. Kuryla
Beau Carter
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
910 Louisiana St.
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 229-1855 (phone)
(713) 229-7855 (fax)
aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com

Counsel for Energy Transfer LP

/s/ Ana Maria Gutiérrez
Ana Maria Gutiérrez
Michael D. Miller
WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP
1899 Wynkoop Street, Suite 750
Denver, CO 80202
(720) 983-1350
ana.gutierrez@wbd-us.com
michael.miller@wbd-us.com

Filed: 01/04/2024

Catherine E. Stetson HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 637-5600 cate.stetson@hoganlovells.com

Counsel for Kinder Morgan, Inc.

/s/ Michael B. Schon
Michael B. Schon
LEHOTSKY KELLER COHN LLP
200 Massachusetts Ave NW
Washington, DC 20001
(512) 693-8350
mike@lkcfirm.com
Mithun Mansinghani
LEHOTSKY KELLER COHN LLP
629 W. Main St.
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
(512) 693-8350
mithun@lkcfirm.com

Counsel for Petitioners National Mining Association

/s/ Laura K. McAfee

Laura K. McAfee (D.C. Cir. Bar No.

62386)

BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, PC

201 North Charles Street, Suite 2200

Baltimore, MD 21201 lmcafee@bdlaw.com

Counsel for Enbridge (U.S.) Inc.

/s/ John D. Lazaretti

John D. Lazzaretti

SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP

1000 Key Tower 127 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114

216.479.8500

john.lazzaretti@squirepb.com

Counsel for Petitioner United States Steel

Corporation

/s/ Brittany M. Pemberton

Brittany M. Pemberton Jeffrey R. Holmstead

BRACEWELL LLP 2001 M Street NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20036

Ph: 202-828-1708

Brittany.Pemberton@bracewell.com

Counsel for TransCanada PipeLine USA

Ltd.

/s/ Eric D. McArthur

Eric D. McArthur SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

1501 K St NW

Washington, DC 20005 emcarthur@sidley.com

Counsel for the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America and American Pe-

troleum Institute

/s/ Heidi Parry Stern

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General

HEIDI PARRY STERN

Solicitor General State of Nevada

Office of the Attorney General

555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900

Las Vegas, NV 89101 HStern@ag.nv.gov

Counsel for State of Nevada

/s/ Mark W. DeLaquil

Mark W. DeLaquil

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Washington, D.C. 20036

Martin T. Booher

Joshua T. Wilson

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

2000 Key Tower

127 Public Square

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Counsel for Hybar LLC

/s/ Elbert Lin

Elbert Lin

Kevin S. Elliker

David N. Goldman

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

951 East Byrd Street, East Tower

Richmond, VA 23219

(804) 788-8200

elin@HuntonAK.com

kelliker@HuntonAK.com

dgoldman@HuntonAK.com

F. William Brownell

E. Carter Chandler Clements

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20037

(202) 955-1500

bbrownell@HuntonAK.com

eclements@HuntonAK.com

Counsel for Petitioners Union Electric Co., d/b/a Ameren Missouri, and Arkan-

sas League of Good Neighbors

/s/ Richard S. Moskowitz

Richard S. Moskowitz

Tyler Kubik

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL

MANUFACTURERS

1800 M Street, NW

Suite 900 North

Washington, DC 20036

rmoskowitz@afpm.org

Counsel for Petitioner American Fuel &

Petrochemical Manufacturers

/s/ David M. Flannery

David M. Flannery

Kathy G. Beckett

Keeleigh S. Huffman

STEPTOE & JOHNSON, PLLC

707 Virginia Street, East

Charleston, WV 25301

Dave.Flannery@steptoe-johson.com

Kathy.beckett@steptoe-johnson.com

Keeleigh.Huffman@

steptoe-johnson.com

Edward L. Kropp

STEPTOE & JOHNSON PLLC

PO Box 36425

Indianapolis, Indiana 46236

Skipp.kropp@steptoe-johnson.com

Counsel for American Forest & Paper Association, American Iron and Steel Insti-

tute, and Midwest Ozone Group

/s/ Elliott Zenick

Elliott Zenick

AMERICAN CHEMISTRY COUNCIL

700 2nd St., NE

Washington, DC 20002

elliott zenick@

americanchemistry.com

Counsel for American Chemistry Council

/s/ Michael E. Born
Michael E. Born
Cheri A. Budzynski
Krystina E. Garabis
Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP
Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Suite 2400
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 463-9441
mborn@shumaker.com

cbudzynski@shumaker.com kgarabis@shumaker.com

Counsel for Petitioners Buckeye Power, Inc. and Ohio Valley Electric Corporation

/s/ Allison D. Wood
Allison D. Wood
Makram B. Jaber
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
888 16th Street, NW, Suite 500
Black Lives Matter Plaza
Washington, D.C. 20006
awood@mcguirewoods.com
mjaber@mcguirewoods.com

Counsel for Petitioners America's Power, Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., Deseret Power Electric Cooperative, the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation, the Portland Cement Association, Wabash Valley Power Alliance THEODORE E. ROKITA Attorney General of Indiana

Filed: 01/04/2024

/s/ James A. Barta
JAMES A. BARTA
Indiana Solicitor General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
IGC-South, Fifth Floor
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
James.Barta@atg.in.gov

Counsel for State of Indiana

/s/ Michael Williams

PATRICK MORRISEY
Attorney General of West Virginia

LINDSAY S. SEE
Solicitor General
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the West Virginia Attorney
General
State Capitol, Bldg 1, Room E-26
Charleston, WV 25305
(682) 313-4550
Lindsay.S.See@wvago.gov

Counsel for State of West Virginia

SEAN D. REYES Attorney General of Utah

/s/ Kristina R. Van Bockern
Melissa A. Holyoak
SOLICITOR GENERAL
Stanford E. Purser
DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL
Office of the Attorney General
Utah State Capitol Complex
350 North State Street Suite 230
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320
Ph. 801-538-9600
melissaholyoak@agutah.gov
spurser@agutah.gov

William L. Wehrum
WEHRUM ENVIRONMENTAL
LAW LLC
1629 K Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006
Ph. 302-300-0388
William Wehrum@comcast.net

Emily C. Schilling HOLLAND & HART LLP 222 South Main Street, Suite 2200 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Ph. 801-799-5753 / Fax 202-747-6574 ecschilling@hollandhart.com

Kristina (Tina) R. Van Bockern Aaron B. Tucker HOLLAND & HART LLP 555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3200 Denver, CO 80202 Ph. 303-295-8107 / Fax 720-545-9952 trvanbockern@hollandhart.com RUSSELL COLEMAN
Attorney General of Kentucky

Filed: 01/04/2024

/s/ Matthew F. Kuhn
Matthew F. Kuhn
Solicitor General
Office of Kentucky Attorney General
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
(502) 696-5300
Matt.Kuhn@ky.gov

Counsel for Commonwealth of Kentucky

/s/ Joseph Anthony Newberg, II
Joseph Anthony Newberg, II
General Counsel
Kentucky Energy and Environment
Cabinet
Office of Legal Services
300 Sower Boulevard, 3rd Floor
Frankfort, KY 40601
502-782-5451
joea.newberg@ky.gov

Counsel for Commonwealth of Kentucky, Energy and Environment Cabinet abtucker@hollandhart.com

Counsel for State of Utah

Pursuant to Fed R. App. P. 32(f) and (g), I hereby certify that the foregoing complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2)(A) because it contains 1,029 words, excluding exempted portions, according to the count of Microsoft Word.

I further certify that the motion complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(1)(E), 32(a)(5) and (6) because it has been prepared in 14-point Equity Font.

/s/ Mathura J. Sridharan MATHURA J. SRIDHARAN Counsel for State of Ohio

Filed: 01/04/2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 4, 2023, I caused the foregoing to be electrically filed with the Clerk of the Court by using the Court's CM/ECF system. All registered counsel will be served by the Court's CM/ECF system.

> /s/ Mathura J. Sridharan MATHURA J. SRIDHARAN Counsel for State of Ohio

Filed: 01/04/2024